

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/275,097 03/24/1999		03/24/1999	JOHN C. BURNS	53921/64	9336	
23553	7590	04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MARKS	& CLERI	K	HARPER, KEVIN C			
P.O. BOX STATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, ON KI	P 5S7	2616			
CANADA	A			DATE MAILED: 04/11/200	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/275,097	BURNS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2616	
	Reviil C. Haipei	2010	

Defere the Filips of an Annual Drief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin C. Harper	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or						
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendme 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): claims 13-22 and 37-46.						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13-22 and 37-46</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>12 and 34-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other: Applicant's reply has overcome the previous clai	m objections.					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments concerning claims 12 and 34-36 are not considered persuasive. Applicant argued that the group of connections is not selected corresponding to the reduction in bandwidth. However, in Arslan a partial channel failure (col. 7, lines 7-15) represents a reduction in bandwidth (col. 5, lines 12-16; note: circuit bandwidth) because a channel provides link bandwidth/capacity for a circuit (col. 3, lines 24-27). A circuit is carried over a channel or channels of a link (col. 9, lines 12-15).

Applicant commented on the finality of the previous office action. It is noted that in the July 2003 response, applicant amended claims 12 and 34 to their present form. As necessitated by applicant's amendment to claims 12 and 34, the previous office action was properly made final. A new reference combination was used in the previous office action in response to the amendment of claims 12 and 34. The April 2003 rejection of claims 12 and 34 used Ogura in view of Ayanoglu as a ground of rejection.

The statement in the previous office action that applicant requested reconsideration of the finality of the rejection was in error. However, the finality of the previous office action was unrelated to the statement.

KCH

4/4/06

DORIS H. TO

SUPER-ISORY PATENT Examines TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600